## **Decision Register Entry**

Single Member Cabinet Decision Executive Forward P

Forward Plan **E2377** Reference

## Piccadilly Place, Bath, Parking Restrictions TRO

Decision maker/s	Cllr Paul Crossley, Leader of the Council
The Issue	To consider the points raised during the public consultation of the Traffic Regulation Order and to decide whether to proceed with the proposed scheme.
Decision Date	20 July 12
The decision	The Cabinet Member agrees that: (1) The restrictions are implemented as advertised. (2) Any potential funding requirements identified in this report for 2013/2014 and beyond will be subject to the Medium Term Service and Resource Planning process including consideration and approval as part of the Annual Budget by the full Council in February 2013
Rationale for decision	The recommended restrictions are proposed so as to avoid danger to persons or other traffic using the road or to prevent the likelihood of any such danger arising and to facilitate the passage on the road or any other road of any class or traffic. Under Section 1 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 a Traffic Authority outside Greater London may make and Order where it appears expedient to make it for the specified reason.
Financial and budget implications	Funding for the scheme was secured from the developer of 5-9 Piccadilly Place, Walcot, Bath. The full costs of processing and implementing the order will be re-charged to the developer. Estimated costs for this scheme are £2-2.5k. The consultation process included Highways and no concerns were raised regarding on-going maintenance costs and these works can be incorporated within the existing revenue budget.
Issues considered	Social Inclusion; Sustainability; Young People; Equality (age, race, disability, religion/belief, gender, sexual orientation); Health & Safety
Consultation undertaken	Ward Councillors (both Ward Councillors were supportive of the proposals); Cabinet colleagues; Local Residents; Section 151 Finance Officer; Chief Executive; Monitoring Officer
Other options considered	Implement a modified scheme This option was rejected based on the vehicle swept path analysis of the location for service vehicles.  Not implement any of the scheme This option was rejected as the proposal is required as part of the planning consent process.

Signatures of Decision Makers	
Date of Signature	
Subject to Call-in until 5 Working days have elapsed following publication of the decision	